

FIGHTING CHANCE

John 8: 31-32

Proverbs 22: 3

Newsletter Vol. II, No. 4

July 1988

“Within weeks many of you will be looking across just hundreds of feet of water at some of the most modern technology ever invented in America. Unfortunately, it is on Soviet ships.”

Navy Secretary John Lehman
Naval Academy graduation

THE WINNING SIDE

American technology is on those Soviet ships in part, because American corporations and politicians have acted without principles in providing the Soviets with resources.

We once published several research papers with an individual who was at the same time an accomplished scientist and a self-described “worker for international socialism.” At an earlier age I had the mistaken belief that the quality of scientific work was independent of political belief.

In any case, a mutual colleague once asked this scientist why he had chosen “international socialism” which usually means communism without party membership. His reply: he said that he had decided it was sensible to be on the winning side.

He will fail, of course. Communism has always devoured its supporters, especially “intellectuals” who have pandered to it.

You are usually stronger, however, if you understand as well as possible your opponent’s motivation. Beneath the rhetoric, the morally bankrupt procedure of just picking the side which is perceived to be winning is a primary motivation of many of the enemies of freedom.

It is also often a weakness of America's friends. Does anyone really believe that 95% of the United States Senate thinks that the INF treaty is good for America? Is Senator Helms really the only United States Senator who thinks that a pro-Soviet USTEC official should not be our Secretary of Commerce?

Of course not. Moreover, although we have some incredibly corrupt politicians, there are many essentially good Senators who voted against American interests on these issues. They just perceived that the issue was lost and decided not to spend their political capital in opposing it. Alternatively, they may have traded their vote for support in another area — a trade, which they think might provide something of value.

Sacrifice of principle and personal honor to be on the “winning side” is a damaging personal weakness.

One attraction which communism has is that it offers undeserved rewards for personal weakness. Would Daniel Ortega amount to anything in a free Nicaragua without Soviet arms to keep him on top?

One weakness which communism has is that it needs to rely on generally second-rate people who could not achieve success without communism. First-rate people are difficult to control and their loyalty to an evil cause cannot be trusted.

Men and women of principle sometimes appear rigid in their beliefs. If they make a mistake, they are sometimes destroyed by that rigidity. They are, however, the essential fabric of freedom. People who go with the “winning side” cannot protect us with their pragmatism. If they could, Americans would already have a strategic and a civil defense.

When was the last time you heard the word “dishonorable” used in conversation? We were reintroduced to it 12 years ago by Jeff Cooper.

Jeff Cooper, a former marine officer, is one of our nation's finest firearms experts. We were listening to a lecture by a Los Angeles policeman. The policeman was explaining that capitulation to a mugger was no longer a sensible policy. He stated that muggers were now so often killing their victims whether or not they surrendered, that the odds of survival were better with resistance.

Quietly, from the side of the room, Jeff Cooper interjected:

“Besides, not to resist would be *dishonorable*.”

Can you imagine any other response from George Washington, from Patrick Henry, or from Thomas Jefferson?

We may lose these kinds of people occasionally to unfortunate incidents stemming from their rigidity. Without doubt, however, it is people who understand the word “dishonorable” who have made and kept us free.

Conversely, it is the dishonorable characteristics within our society — and often within each of us — that are costing America her freedom.

THE COMMISSAR

We had many enjoyable visits with the late Alex M. Poniatoff, the founder of AMPEX Corporation. Mr. Poniatoff introduced audio tape recording in the United States and is credited as the inventor of video recording.

Mr. Poniatoff was also a pilot in the airforce of the Czar of Russia during World War I. He kept on his office wall a picture of one of the most feared German Aces of that war.

The German was feared, in part, because of the diabolical aerial weapons which he devised and deployed against opposing pilots. In Mr. Poniatoff's picture, the Ace is shown with one of those weapons — a long chain trailing from his airplane with a ball and hook on the end. He would drag the hook across his opponent's airplane. Strategic and civil defense would have protected us from this guy, too.

After the war, Alex returned home to discover that a civil war had erupted between the White Russians and the Soviets. The Soviets had overthrown the elected Russian government by force.

Mr. Poniatoff flew a wood and fabric airplane against the Communists. His airplane was nicknamed the “flying coffin”, because it frequently caught fire in the air. When he initially returned to his village from the war against Germany, Alex had a problem. He wanted to join the White Russians, but there was fighting in all directions and he didn't know which forces were which.

So he enlisted the help of the village commissar, the individual the Communists had picked as head of his village. The commissar was easy to identify. He had been the town ner-do-well and drunk. He was picked as commissar, because he was obviously easy to control and would have status only if he obeyed his masters.

Alex bribed the commissar to help him. The plan was simple. They would set off down the road. If they came upon Communist forces first, the commissar would show his credentials. If they came upon the White Russians, Alex would show his and join them.

They set off down the road and eventually a soldier jumped out and pointed his rifle at them. It was then Alex realized the flaw in his plan. He couldn't tell which side the fellow was on. Which credentials should they show?

He guessed and showed his own credentials. He said that the fellow's boots were too well polished for a Bolshevik. The guess was right. Later, when the resistance collapsed, he escaped across China to the United States. And now over half of American homes have VCR's as a result of his work.

Remember the commissar. Consider your "village." Think about the corrupt and the lazy people, the ones for whom you have little respect. Suppose you didn't have enough money or possessions to bribe them.

If America surrenders, they will be your commissars. They will have the power of life and death over you and your family. And, if you are intelligent and resourceful, for their own safety they will condemn you to slave labor or death.

POTASSIUM IODIDE

There are numerous myths concerning certain natural products and synthetic chemicals regarding their ability to protect against radiation damage. Some people are especially prone to latch on to a natural product and claim that it will cure everything from cancer to AIDS to radiation damage.

There is also some serious research on radiation protection by ingested chemicals in the medical sciences. This has been motivated primarily by the search for ways to increase the toleration of radiation during cancer therapy.

Your editor once carried out a series of experiments on guinea pigs in which the effect of vitamin C on their radiation tolerance was measured. It had been speculated that secondary radiation damage might be diminished and radiation damage repair might be enhanced by ascorbic acid.

There was no measurable effect in these experiments, so I concluded that any protection from vitamin C alone which may exist is so small as to be below the experimental error of those experiments.

There is not, at present, any ingestible food or medicine which is known to provide significant protection against radiation damage except for substances which actually redistribute the amounts of ingested radioisotopes.

The most important of these is potassium iodide. Iodine 131 which has a half-life of 8.07 days is an especially dangerous component of radioactive fallout. It is dangerous, because the thyroid gland concentrates iodide. Therefore a small amount of iodine 131 in food, water, or inhaled fallout accumulates in the thyroid gland. This accumulation raises the radiation exposure of the thyroid to a much higher level than that of the rest of the body.

The 8.07 day half-life is short enough to give a high decay rate and therefore an intense radiation exposure. Yet it is long enough that about three months is required for this isotope to decay 1000-fold in radiation intensity.

The best protection is to avoid ingesting the isotope which can be effectively done by means of the techniques described in *Nuclear War Survival Skills*. Fortunately there is also a method to prevent 99% of thyroid damage even if ingestion of iodine 131 does occur.

If the thyroid gland is presaturated and kept saturated with unusually high amounts of iodide ion, then it will accumulate very little additional iodide. This can be accomplished by eating high doses of potassium iodide before and during exposure to iodine 131. Since the saturating iodide is iodide 127 which is not radioactive, it does not cause radiation damage.

An effective dose has been determined to be 130 milligrams per day of potassium iodide for each person over one year of age and 65 milligrams per day for babies under one year of age. These doses should begin *before* exposure to fallout and should continue for about 100 days.

Side effects from this dosage of potassium iodide are so low that only a few adverse reactions would occur if everyone in the United States took this dosage. Of course, this dosage should *only* be taken in the event of nuclear war, nuclear terrorist attack, or serious nearby nuclear accident.

Today there is no chance that most Americans will be protected by potassium iodide, since there are virtually no distributed stockpiles of this substance in the United States.

The cost of purchase of potassium iodide is about \$1.13 per person for 100 doses or about one cent per dose. Since the most dangerous period is the first 50 days, this could be reduced to 50 cents per person if necessary.

Potassium iodide may be purchased from many chemical supply houses. One of these is VWR Scientific. They have 30 regional offices, many with 800 numbers. If none of these are listed in your directory, call their Los Angeles number which is (213) 404-0770.

The current VWR price for their item number EM-PX 1510-4 which is one kilogram (one thousand grams or one million milligrams) of potassium iodide crystals is \$87. This will provide 100 daily doses for each of 77 people over one year old. It would provide fairly good protection for 150 people if supplies were limited.

You will need to order from VWR through a company or laboratory. They require that their credit department issue an account number before selling items by telephone. Account numbers are issued after a simple credit check which can also be done through a couple of telephone references.

VWR will not sell to individuals. Order through your company or some other business. There are no legal restrictions on potassium iodide purchase.

You can pay more. One survival outfit offers protection at a price of \$25 per person for 100 doses in tablet form. The crystals from VWR will store indefinitely whereas tablets gradually deteriorate. Pay \$1 per person and save 25 times as many people.

You must use the iodide salt. *Do not under any circumstances eat household iodine.* It will make you very ill.

The circumstances and manner in which you should use potassium iodide are described in detail in *Nuclear War Survival Skills*. Do not use this substance except in strict accordance with those instructions or instructions from a similarly authoritative source.

Protection by potassium iodide illustrates a general principle about radioactive materials.

Background radiation from the isotopes in our houses, plants, rocks, animals, and our own bodies is always with us. This radiation does not harm us, and there are some research studies which suggest that it is beneficial. We are only threatened by radioisotopes if the amount in a single location rises to a very high level or if the rate of decay is very fast.

The radioisotopes produced in nuclear explosions are disbursed over a very large area. However, since some of these isotopes decay rapidly thereby giving up their radiation over a short time interval, they are dangerous to us regardless of their relatively dilute dispersal. The rapid decay removes most of them from our environment, however, in a relatively short time.

Long-lived isotopes can be dangerous too, but only if they are concentrated in very large amounts. A high concentration can result in a high radiation level even with their slow rate of decay.

For these reasons, the so-called nuclear waste "problem" associated with nuclear power plants is entirely a creation of the "environmentalists" who are using it to weaken the American economy, increase the cost of energy, and keep us dependent on foreign oil.

First, this waste could be partly reprocessed into more fuel if it were not for misguided laws which prevent such reprocessing.

Second, if the waste were simply solubilized and sprinkled over the oceans there would be no significant increase in background radiation anywhere. If appropriately diluted, all of mankind's nuclear power waste for the foreseeable future could not pose any risk whatever to people, plants or animals. After all, the earth itself is disposing of massive quantities of waste from its own radioactive processes in just this way and on a very large scale.

The primary reason that radioactive waste poses a threat is that environmentalists insist that it be stored in concentrated amounts in specific dump sites. This causes large amounts of long-lived isotopes to accumulate in small areas and raises the radiation amounts to dangerous levels for long periods of time.

Your thyroid gland, because it needs large amounts of iodide ion for its biochemical functions, serves as a kind of environmentalist which accumulates radioiodine from all over your body until the radioactivity in the thyroid itself is dangerously high. At least the thyroid has the redeeming feature that it performs a useful function and is not crazy enough to turn innocuous radiation levels into public hazards.

SURPLUS STEEL TANKS FOR SHELTERS

Environmentalists have achieved one beneficial result. Recently they attacked the fuel distribution industry whom they alleged were unconcerned about expensive fuel leaking into

the ground. There probably was an occasional leaky tank, but all those we have seen so far are in excellent condition.

In any case, they are forcing those tanks out of the ground. We advocate cleaning, sand-blasting, and painting them and putting them right back into the ground as inexpensive blast and radiation shelters for the American people. So many have been removed, that the salvage price in Oregon and California for these tanks has fallen now to zero.

Usually one must pay the cost of hauling them away, but one Oregon civil defense group is now getting a continual supply of tanks delivered to their steel-fabrication shop free. For a nominal charge their supplier also purges the tanks and cuts the two entryway holes.

If you want a tank, check your local market and get one while they are still cheap. After the deadline for replacement of the tanks, the supply will dry up.

In general you need to arrange to get them just as they are dug up from the fuel station. If they are hauled away by someone else, then the costs of hauling twice add to the price. Also, if they are hauled away, most of them are then cut up for steel scrap.

Service station tanks are bedded in sand and usually placed under paving which keeps them quite dry. If the area does not have an unusually high water table or the tank has not been abandoned for many years with water inside it, it is likely to be in essentially new condition even if it is quite old.

EMERGENCY POWER

It is natural to want to take as much modern technology as possible into a post-attack environment. Technology can save many lives, and it can improve the quality of life. Most of our technology requires electricity.

In those countries with good civil defense systems, emergency power generation is a part of the system. Emergency civil defense power is provided in Switzerland, China, and the Soviet Union. Diesel generators, for example, supply power for Swiss emergency hospitals.

While diesel power is a reasonable way to provide large quantities of electricity for shelter installations, it is not nearly so important as food for your community. We stress that you cannot become much safer than your neighbors. If they starve to death, your family will probably starve, too. The cost of a power generation system competes with that of a food reserve which is far more important.

There is, however, one sensible step toward post attack electricity which you may wish to consider, even for a single family civil defense plan. That step is the provision of just enough *solar* power to operate a radio and to recharge the battery of an automobile.

Although short-wave radios are more useful, just the ability to listen to a car radio post-attack might well save many lives. You cannot easily operate a radio or start your car without electricity.

Now the road to solar powered shelters, like so many other roads, leads up an expensive hill of diminishing returns. That road also has side turns whereon you can spend lots of money unnecessarily. One survival outfit, for example, is selling solar panels at a price seven times higher per watt than the source we suggest below.

It is possible to run your shelter lights, ventilation system, water pump and other utilities on solar power. In effect, you turn your shelter into a little solar powered home. Under some circumstances this may be prudent and affordable.

We are planning to install a system like this on one of our demonstration shelters. After we have some experience with it, we will publish an article in this newsletter about it. For that car battery and radio, however, and perhaps a small electric light, we suggest that you consider purchase of one Sovonics 23 watt, 1.5 amp, 15.4 volt amorphous solar cell panel. This panel can be used to charge a 12 volt storage battery such as an auto or recreational vehicle battery. Buy it as inexpensively as you can.

The least expensive source we know is The Light Spectrum, Box 215-FC, Kootenai, Idaho 83840. They offer the 23 watt Sovonics panel for \$160 postage paid. They prefer money orders to checks, but will accept credit card purchases.

This delivered price is about \$7 per watt, which is the measure you should use in comparative shopping. Remember that volts times amps equals watts, or 15.4 times 1.5 equals 23 in the case of the Sovonics panel. Some advertisers omit the wattage which confuses price comparisons.

Although there are moderate quantity discounts, you should learn more before starting a project beyond a single emergency panel. The Light Spectrum sells, for example, 47 watt Arco panels at \$315 (or \$6.70 per watt) or \$305 (or \$6.49 per watt) in quantity. These are durable, time tested units for larger installations.

If you want a solar project and cannot wait for our future article, this supplier can give you some guidance.

Do not, however, substitute electric toys for the food, water, and shelter which will keep your community alive. A \$2000 solar electric system or adequate food for 20 starving neighbors — take your pick.

CHURCHES PICKETING CHURCHES ?

Americans are now slaughtering 30,000 infant children per week. The atheistic humanist society in the Soviet Union is, however, slaughtering 135,000 per week. Does that make America morally superior?

The Soviets have built a massive civil defense and are completing deployment of strategic defenses to protect the lives of those whom they have not killed. They build better shelters for those who are higher in their political order.

America is more fair. Treating all of its citizens equally, America isn't building defenses to protect anyone. An equal opportunity for life is coming to mean an equal and highly increased chance of death or enslavement.

All of this makes us angry at those in the child killing business and at the militarists behind the Iron Curtain. Right? Why? They are clearly committed to evil purposes. They are just doing their job.

We are surely not going to persuade these people that their actions serve evil. They know that already. Our problem is the very large number of people who should be active on the opposite side of these issues, but who are silent.

Does anyone really believe that the child killing could go on even one more week if it were not tolerated by the church-going public in America? If the people in churches this Sunday merely refused to do business next week with anyone who conducted any business whatever with those involved in child killing, the practice would immediately stop.

A sign in each store window: "We do business only with those opposed to child killing." No sign, no business from churchgoers.

In fact most churches oppose child killing in a passive, ineffective way. Even most of the activists, with rare exceptions, devote a very small percentage of their resources to this problem.

Most churches say, in effect, "Join us in worship this Sunday morning. If you do, God will take care of you even if you do business with evil on the other six days of the week." "Together we will deplore evil – quietly and just on Sunday. No pressure, no action, no worries, and no effect."

Civil defense suffers the same fate. The majority of Americans will sign the Petition for American Civil Defense. Among those who will not sign, the *largest* group is those who reply, "I am not worried. I don't need civil defense. *God will take care of me.*"

You thought perhaps the largest group is peace activists, or pro-Soviet factions, or those who believe survival is impossible. Not in America. In America it is those who have been convinced by their clergy that activity and donations in their church and a personal relationship with God exempts them from personal action against evil.

They have missed two major facts.

First, God has already taken care of them. God has given them the scientists and engineers who invented strategic and civil defenses. He has given them vast wealth with which to easily implement these defenses. He has given them a society in which these defenses can be freely promoted and accomplished.

They, however, are not satisfied. They say, "If God wants us to have defenses, let him build them and give them to us – preferably in a suitably spectacular ceremony."

They are testing God. They will only accept His gifts on their own terms.

Reread the story about the Christian in the flood on pages 186 and 187 of *Fighting Chance*. Regarding actual action to save our country, it may be the most important passage in the book.

Second, part of the job of churches is to oppose evil. They are the natural friends of civil defense and of those 20,000,000 American children who have already been slaughtered and the 30,000 who face death next week. The churches are the repositories of most of the principles upon which our civilization is based. They are responsible for the preservation of those principles. They are not doing their job.

Most churches are also not paying attention to one of the clear messages in the Bible. When a civilization perishes, the good people perish right along side the evil ones. Do the churches think that this is not fair? Do they wish to judge God as well demand His help on their terms?

It has become trite but no less true that “the road to hell is paved with good intentions.” Most churches in America are offering only good intentions in response to the Soviet threat and to the mass slaughter of American children.

Picketing our enemies will do very little good. Picketing our friends might wake them up. If those church congregations who do understand their responsibilities to those 30,000 children were to spend part of each Sunday morning picketing those church congregations who do not understand theirs, the results might be astonishing.

We must oppose appeasement inside as well as outside America.

KEARNY FALLOUT METER

When you read your copy of *Nuclear War Survival Skills* and approached the section on the Kearny Fallout Meter, the KFM, your eyes glazed over. Right? Well, many eyes do.

First, the instructions seem very complicated. Second, defense against Soviet technology with a juice can and some aluminum foil seems absurd to our technology saturated people.

The instructions, however, are actually easy to follow. During the *Nuclear War Survival Skills* filming, we had a group of three volunteers make a KFM in front of the camera with no prior experience and no coaching. They made an excellent meter in four hours.

Cresson Kearny did the same thing in front of the camera in about two hours including time for explanations. This is in the *Nuclear War Survival Skills* video tapes which we now have available.

Second, the KFM is actually superior to the best high technology meters in several ways.

The KFM requires no electricity. It can never become uncalibrated, because it is calibrated by its geometry and the laws of physics alone. It does not fail in such a way as to get you killed.

Electronic meters usually read too low if they fail. This can lead you to emerge from the safety of a shelter too soon and inadvertently receive life threatening radiation.

The modes of failure of the KFM usually involve too rapid a discharge. For example, if the thread supporting the leaves is contaminated, the electrostatic charge can bleed off through the thread which causes a higher than correct radiation reading. This failure can

scare you into remaining sheltered longer than necessary, but it will not kill you by leading you to emerge from shelter too soon.

The KFM is an electroscope similar to those used by the scientists who first studied the radiation from nuclear reactions. Regardless of how expensive a meter you can afford, build a KFM, so that you can be sure the electronic meter is working correctly.

UP TO DATE

The Marshall Manuilski quote with which we opened the April 1988 *Fighting Chance Newsletter* is about 50 years old.

As quoted from *Le Figaro* and *The Samizdat Bulletin*, the *Daily News Digest* recently quoted Victor Suvorov, a Soviet GRU officer who defected to the West. Mr. Suvorov was asked if President Reagan's meeting with Secretary Gorbachev in Washington served any useful purpose.

His answer:

"To the West, none. You know, I remember what they told us at the military academy. 'We will put forward the most effective proposals and decoy the enemy with imaginary concessions. The countries of the putrid capitalist West will be happy to cooperate with us, to their own ruination. We will put them off their guard with friendship, and as soon as they let up, we will crush them.'"

Suvorov isn't as eloquent as Manuilski. Apparently, exposition in the Soviet Union has diminished in quality — as it has in the West. His meaning, however, is just the same.

TIME FOR AMERICANS

The Constitution of the United States which officers of our government are sworn to uphold plus the writings of the Founding Fathers concerning the founding principles of our Republic make clear that the government's primary responsibility is to provide for the common defense of American lives, property, and freedom.

Today the most critical need for defense of those freedoms lies in the procedures of strategic and civil defense. Numerous research studies have concluded that approximately equal expenditures in these two areas provides maximum protection.

And our politicians are appropriating funds for this most essential aspect of their responsibilities, right? Right.

A single day of federal budget expenditures each year is devoted to strategic defense and *one hour* per year of the budget is devoted to civil defense expenditures.

What are they doing then with the other 363.9 days of our yearly tax money? Why not ask the candidates during the political campaigns this year?

POWER

As described by Dr. Petr Beckmann in *Access to Energy*, the United States has now fallen behind France, Belgium, Korea, Taiwan, Sweden, Finland, Switzerland, Japan, West Germany, and Spain in generation of electricity with nuclear reactors.

America generates 18% of its power with nuclear age technology. Korea is at 53% and France is 70% nuclear.

It has recently been agreed that the Shoreham nuclear power plant in New York will be scrapped rather than being allowed to generate power. The plant is complete and ready for operation. It is not defective in any way.

The cost: \$6 billion. The power plant is to be sold to the state of New York for one dollar and then destroyed. In return, the utility gets guaranteed rate increases for the next decade, so that it can gradually recover its losses.

The utility also gets \$2.5 billion from the American federal tax-payers in tax write-offs and the proceeds from a special issue of tax-exempt bonds.

What do the American people get? They get higher prices, a lower standard of living, and increased respiratory diseases from fossil fuel power generation. They also get further dependence upon Middle Eastern oil. *That dependence upon a politically unstable region may lead to world war.*

These politicians are going to throw away more resources on the destruction of this one power plant than have been spent for American civil defense during the past 25 years.

One *Fighting Chance* supporter in New York called to point out that public blast and radiation shelters could be provided for every person in the entire region allegedly

threatened by the Shoreham plant for less than *one percent* of the cost of building and then destroying the plant.

New Yorkers really aren't threatened by the plant at all. They do, however, have the threat of Soviet nuclear weapons aimed at them which would also be mitigated by the shelter system.

This sort of irrational waste of resources is part of the reason that, as reported June 3rd in the Wall Street Journal, "Since 1972, the U.S. standard of living has grown only one-fourth as fast as the average ... of the other industrial nations and ... living standards in the United States have essentially stagnated over the past 15 years."

Economic weakness leads to military weakness which leads to subjugation and slavery. We are \$6 billion further down that road thanks to the politicians in New York.

MOBILE SHELTER DISPLAY

The Jackson County Civil Defense Council mobile blast shelter is becoming an increasingly effective tool for civil defense work.

It is pictured below as a float in the annual Medford, Oregon parade. The tow car was decorated as a food storage supply. The colors were red, white, and blue — matching the flags:

Later it was parked as an exhibit at the annual Jackson County Oregon exposition. Between 5000 and 6000 people actually went through the shelter during the four day exposition. Most people were either favorably impressed or else neutral. There were only a few negative remarks.

The group sets up a table of civil defense literature in front of the shelter with two volunteers to distribute these materials. Two other volunteers show people through the shelter. Children especially enjoy playing in the shelter hammocks while their parents learn about the shelter ventilation system and other features.

During the exposition, the group passed out several thousand Petitions for American Civil Defense. Additionally, they collected about 500 signatures on the spot.

This unit is proving to be an excellent community education tool. It also provides focus for this civil defense group. The group paid about \$12,000 for the unit which included

fabrication work on the shelter itself, a used trailer, and a LUWA-180 Swiss ventilation system with chemical and biological warfare filters.

The hammocks are of the special design described in the *Fighting Chance Newsletter* Volume I, Number 4. These have been improved slightly over the prototype model which Cresson Kearny brought here last summer.



Volunteers from the group did most of the detail work on this mobile shelter. Without volunteer labor and with increased costs in recent months, their unit would cost about \$16,000 to build today.

With enthusiastic state-wide news coverage by several television stations and a regular schedule of appearances, this shelter is on its way to becoming a well-known item in Oregon.

Each state should have at least one of these mobile shelters with an enthusiastic group of volunteers to display it. We have now seen the reaction of many thousands of Americans to this display. Their reaction is excellent. The impact is very effective in combating the pro-appeasement and surrender efforts and the slick, false stories in PSR and ESR propaganda.